



### **Control of Self-Assembly**

Martha A. Grover Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology April 24, 2019 Dynamics and Control of Process Systems



# **Control of Self-Assembly**

- Many-body dynamic system
- Symmetries due to indistinguishable particles
- Covalent and non-covalent interactions
- Interactions can be manipulated with external fields
- Desired state
  - Metastable kinetic trap
  - Low-energy crystalline state

Chemistry  $\rightarrow$  Process  $\rightarrow$  Structure  $\rightarrow$  Property

"Control of self-assembly in micro- and nano-scale systems," J. Paulson, A. Mesbah, X. Zhu, M. Molaro, R. Braatz, *Journal of Process Control*, **27**, 64-75 (2015).



#### Self-assembly in biological function and selection



"Design of multi-phase dynamic chemical networks," C. Chen, J. Tan, M. C. Hsieh, T. Pan, J. T. Goodwin, A. K. Mehta, M. A. Grover, D. G. Lynn, *Nature Chemistry*, **9**(8), 799-804 (2017).

# Motivation: Crystallization

- Crystalline ordered state is desired for
  - Separation (nuclear waste)
  - Purification (pharmaceutical)
  - Materials processing (optical and electronic properties)
- Low-energy thermodynamic ground state
- Defects can occur as kinetic traps
- Feedback can help overcome the "inherent" tradeoff between thermodynamics and kinetics.

"Model identification and control strategies for batch cooling crystallizers," S. Miller and J. Rawlings, *AIChE Journal*, **40**(8), 1312-1327 (1994).



## Experimental approaches to crystallization control

- Open-loop dynamic inputs
  - Linear (batch cooling crystallization)
  - Toggling (switching magnetic fields)
- Closed-loop feedback
  - Model-free (Direct nucleation control, PID control)
  - Model-based (Population balance and MPC / Markov-state model and dynamic programming)

6

"Feedback controlled colloidal self-assembly," J. Juarez and M. Bevan, *Advanced Functional Materials*, **22**, 3833-3839 (2012).

"Nonlinear model-based control of a semi-industrial batch crystallizer using a population balance modeling framework," A. Mesbah, Z. Nagy, A. Huesman, H. Kramer, P. Van den Hoff, *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, **20**, 1188-1201 (2012).

### **Case Studies**

#### **Colloidal Crystallization**

#### Salt Crystallization



# Challenges for Control of Self-Assembly

- large state dimension
- stochastic and nonlinear dynamics
- limited actuation
- limited sensors for real-time measurements



# Methodology

- 1. Selection of variables that characterize the aggregate state of the system and can be measured in real time
- 2. Application of machine learning to develop an empirical model of the system dynamics in terms of the evolution of the selected aggregate state metrics
- 3. Application of dynamic programming to obtain optimal statefeedback control policies

C. Oguz and M. A. (Grover) Gallivan. Optimization of a thin film deposition process using a dynamic model extracted from molecular simulations. *Automatica*, **44**(8): 1958–1969 (2008).

## Motivation: Colloidal Crystallization

Rapid high-throughput production of nanostructured materials is challenging.

- Low-defect metamaterials needed for optoelectronics
- Self-assembly has a greater potential for scale-up (compared to top-down placement)
- Challenge
  - defects form as kinetic traps
- Idea
  - use feedback to intervene...

only when necessary



van Blaaderen *et al. Nature* 2003, Velev *et al. Langmuir* 2009

# Colloidal assembly batch process

AC electric field exerts forces on the particles

- Quadrapole electrode
- ~300 SiO<sub>2</sub> ~3  $\mu$ m diameter spheres in water
- Quasi 2-D assembly (voltage < 2 V)
- *kT*-scale interactions enable <u>reversible</u> assembly
- Particle-field interactions are frequency dependent
  - Pull toward center at 1 MHz AC
  - Push away from center at 0.1 MHz AC
- Real-time monitoring with optical video microscopy
  - Image processing to extract particle locations



Bevan lab at JHU

### Feedback control system

Real-time measurement enables immediate correction to the process





## Many-body simulation

Classical mechanics describes forces and particle motion

- Particle-field interactions
  - Induced dipole in an inhomogeneous field
- Particle-particle interactions
  - Electrostatic
  - Dipole-dipole
- Stochastic
  - Random Brownian motion due to solvent fluctuations
- Integrate the equation of motion on each particle
- Model parameters: geometry and material properties

"Interactions and microstructures in electric field mediated colloidal assembly," J. Juarez and M. Bevan, *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **131**, 134704 (2009).





#### Reduced-order state

Due to symmetries, the state dimension can be reduced.

- Direction of force on particles can be changed via frequency
- Free energy surfaces calculated from long-time sampling of experiments
- C<sub>6</sub> is an order parameter: a measure of crystallinity

 $C_6 = 6$  is a hexagonal structure





### Implementation of model-free control

Corrective action is proportional to the error in  $C_6$ 

$$[V,\omega] = \begin{cases} -K\Delta\langle C_6\rangle, 0.1MHz & \Delta\langle C_6\rangle < -0.25 \\ K\Delta\langle C_6\rangle, 1.0MHz & \Delta\langle C_6\rangle \ge -0.25 \\ \Delta\langle C_6\rangle = \langle C_6\rangle_{des} - \langle C_6\rangle_{meas} \\ K = 4V_{pp} \end{cases}$$

- Switch between two controllers
  - assembly
  - disassembly
- Controller gain *K* is chosen empirically
- Proportional control: steady state error



Juarez and Bevan, Adv. Fun. Matl. (2012) 16

### Markov state model

Use detailed simulation to learn reduced-order model

- Discrete state space *S*, action space *A*, and time *T*:
  - C<sub>6</sub> = [0,6] into 120 states
  - $\psi_6$  = [0,1] into 50 states
  - Transition time:  $\Delta t = 100 \text{ s}$
  - A = {0.1V, 0.2V, 0.3V, 0.95V}, V=2.0 volts
- Markov transition matrix *P(a)*:
  - $P(a)_{ij}$ : probability for the system to be in state *j* from state *i*, after transition time  $\Delta t$ , given action *a*







#### Model-based control

At each point in time, apply the voltage to best achieve the long-term objective.

**Optimal control:** 

$$J_{i}(x) = E\left\{\sum_{t=i}^{H-1} c(x_{t}, u_{t}) + h(x_{H}) | x_{i} = x\right\}$$

$$c(x_{t}, u_{t}) \text{ is cost function at stage } t, h(x_{H}) \text{ is terminal cost}$$
Define  $J_{i}^{*}(x) = \inf_{u \in U} J_{i}(x), u^{*}(x) = \arg\left\{\inf_{u \in U} J_{i}(x)\right\} = \arg J_{i}^{*}(x)$ 

**Bellman's Principle:** 

$$J_{i}^{*}(x) = \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ E[c(x, u, w)] + E[J_{i+1}^{*}(f(x, u, w))] \right\}$$
$$J_{H}^{*}(x) = E[h(x_{H})]$$

J. Yong and X. Y. Zhou, Stochastic Controls: Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations, Springer, 1999, NY. "Optimal design of a colloidal assembly process," Y. Xue, D. Beltran-Villegas, X. Tang, M. Bevan, M. Grover, *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, **22**(5), 1956-1963 (2014).

## Optimal policy for colloidal control

Relax assembly only when necessary to heal defects

- Markov Decision Process (MDP)
  - Characterized by  $\{T, S, A, P_a\}$
- Objective function: infinite-horizon MDP
- Dynamic programming with policy iteration

$$J_u(x) = E\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R(x_k, u_k)\}$$
$$R(x_k, u_k) = \Psi_6^2$$
$$\gamma = 0.99$$



X. Tang, B. Rupp, Y. Yang, M. A. Grover, and M. A. Bevan, "Optimal feedback controlled assembly of perfect crystals," *ACS Nano*, **10**(7), 6791–6798 (2016).

### Physical interpretation

Partially melt any defected crystals

- Free energy landscape shows equilibrium
- Apply high voltage to fluid for rapid condensation
- In defected crystal state, apply lower voltage to heal the defect







### **Results in Brownian Dynamics simulation**

Assembly is achieved for 93%, compared to 62% for quench

- Realizations that travel through a grain boundary state are most likely to fail
- Stochastic nature is highly significant
- After 1000 s, most have achieved crystalline state





### **Experimental results**

Assembly is achieved for 100 out of 100 cases

- Optimal feedback policy facilitates rapid assembly
- Similar (but better) performance compared to simulation
- Some parameters shift from BD to experiment





### **Control** actions

#### The system is stochastic, so each trial is different.



Only two out of these ten trials required intervention.



### Motivation: salt crystallization

#### Nuclear waste separation



- U.S. Department of Energy cleanup
  - Remove, vitrify, and re-encase
  - Motivates research on separations operations

#### Lab crystallization system

waste simulant:

NaNO<sub>3</sub> and NaSO<sub>4</sub> in water



FBRM ATR-FTIR Temperature

CRYSTALLIZATION VESSEL



DATA ACQUISITION AND CONTROL

#### **Experimental results**

#### Achieve target at final time

$$\underset{u_{0},...,u_{t+\mathcal{T}-1}}{\text{minimize}} \{ \sum_{\tau=t}^{t+\mathcal{T}-1} \left[ (t_{\tau}/t_{N})^{\gamma} d(\mathbf{x}_{\tau}, \mathbf{x}^{\oplus}) + \rho \varepsilon(u_{\tau}) \right] \\ + (t_{\tau}/t_{N})^{\gamma} d(\mathbf{x}_{\tau+\mathcal{T}}, \mathbf{x}^{\oplus}) \}$$

subject to 
$$\mathbf{x}_{r+1} = F(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{T}}, u_{\mathcal{T}})\Delta t + \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{T}}, \tau = t, ..., N-1$$

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t$$





#### Visualization of the experiments



#### 1. Selection of Aggregate Variables

#### **Colloidal Crystallization**

Salt Crystallization





#### 2. Learning the Dynamics



### **3. Control Policy Calculation**



Calculate state feedback policy using dynamic programming





# Conclusions

- Optimal feedback control of self-assembly is feasible
- Model-based optimal control promises to optimize selfassembly
- Remaining challenges include
  - Robustness of policy to model error
  - Selection of reduced-order state
- Technology for real-time imaging is continuing to develop
  - 3D imaging with confocal microscopy
  - Smaller length scales (in situ TEM)
- Optical microscopy may not be practical for manufacturing
  - the insights enable us to better understand the capability and limitations of directed self-assembly
  - simpler sensors can also be related to order-parameters in a manufacturing setting





# Acknowledgments

#### Collaborators

- Prof. Michael Bevan (JHU)
- Prof. Ronald Rousseau (GT)
- Prof. Yoshiaki Kawajiri (GT)

#### Funding

- NSF Cyber Enabled Discovery and Innovation Type II grant CMMI-1124678
- Consortium for Risk Evaluation with Stakeholder Participation (CRESP)
- Nuclear Energy University Program (NEUP)

#### Yuzhen Xue



#### Daniel Griffin







## Select Publications

- X. Tang, B. Rupp, Y. Yang, M. A. Grover, and M. A. Bevan, "Optimal Feedback Controlled Assembly of Perfect Crystals," ACS Nano, 10(7), 6791–6798 (2016).
- D. J. Griffin, M. A. Grover, Y. Kawajiri, and R. W. Rousseau, "Data-Driven Modeling and Dynamic Programming Applied to Batch Cooling Crystallization," *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 55(5) 1361– 1372 (2016).
- M. A. Grover, D. J. Griffin, X. Tang, Control of Self-Assembly with Dynamic Programming, *Proceedings of the Dynamics and Control of Process Systems*, Florianopolis, Brazil, April 2019.